REFORMS OF ENERGY PERFORMANCE REGIME – CONSULTATION RESPONSE (DEADLINE: 26 FEB)

Question No.	Question	Theme	Response options (if applicable)	Additional text	Response provided by
1.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that information using an energy cost metric should be displayed on EPCs? Please select one option for each building type.	Energy cost	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Domestic buildings		Agree	Given the current inaccuracies inherent in the EPC system, the cost metric does not provide useful data for consumers. This means that any improvements to building fabric will not affect the energy bills of the resident in the way which they expect. Improving the accuracy of this measure would help.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Disagree	Energy costs can fluctuate widely depending on market conditions, building usage, and geographical location. It may be	

		1			
				difficult to provide a	
				single cost estimate	
				that accurately	
				reflects the energy	
				expenditure for all	
				non-domestic	
				buildings, potentially	
				making the	
				information	
				misleading or	
				outdated.	
2.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that	Fabric	Strongly disagree	Please insert any	
	information derived from a fabric performance	performance	Disagree	additional comments	
	metric should be displayed on EPCs? Please	P	Neither agree nor	in the relevant	
	select one option for each building type.		disagree	spaces below	
			Agree		
			Strongly agree		
	Domestic buildings		Strongly Agree	By splitting the	
	Demosilo Banango			improvements	
				between fabric and	
				other improvements	
				the property	
				owner/resident	
				receives better	
				information as to	
				where the most	
				efficiency gains can	
				be found, and where funds are best	
	New democratic buildings		A	invested.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Agree	information derived	
				from a fabric	
				performance metric	
				should be included	
				on EPCs for non-	

Unicial			
			

	1				
				domestic buildings,	
				but with some	
				qualifications. It	
				should not be the	
				sole focus but rather	
				part of a broader set	
				of metrics that gives	
				a comprehensive	
				picture of energy	
				efficiency. Including	
				fabric performance	
				could help users	
				understand one of	
				the most important	
				aspects of building	
				energy use.	
				However, care	
				should be taken to	
				present the	
				information in a way	
				that is	
				understandable and	
				balanced, with clear	
				explanations and	
				alongside other	
				important	
				performance	
				indicators.	
3.	When evaluating the fabric performance of	Fabric	No preference	Please insert any	
	buildings, which methodology do you think	performance	Don't know	additional comments	
	should inform the basis of calculating a fabric		FEES	in the relevant	
	metric? Please select one option for each		HLP/HTC	spaces below	
	building type.		Other		
	•	<u>ц</u>	-		

	Domestic buildings		FEES	This should address some of the inaccuracies currently built-in to the assessment process.	
	Non-domestic buildings		FEES	FEES as the primary methodology for a fabric metric, as it directly aligns with sustainability goals and energy efficiency outcomes.	
4.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that information based on a heating system metric should be displayed on EPCs? Please select one option for each building type.	Heating system	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Domestic buildings		Agree	Understanding how heating systems affect a property's efficiency is essential to building an upgrade plan.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Agree	A heating system metric should be displayed on EPCs, but with conditions. Heating systems are a significant part of a building's overall	

		1			
				energy efficiency,	
				and showing their	
				performance could	
				provide valuable	
				insights. However,	
				the information	
				should be presented	
				in a way that is	
				accessible and	
				meaningful to users,	
				avoiding	
				oversimplification	
				and ensuring it	
				doesn't overshadow	
				other critical aspects	
				of the building's	
				energy performance.	
5.	What are your views on the design principles	Heating system	N/A	Please insert any	
	and the scope for a Heating System metric?			additional comments	
	Please provide evidence where possible.			in the relevant	
				spaces below	
	Domestic buildings			The current system	
				which favours highly	
				efficient fossil fuel	
				systems needs to be	
				addressed to reduce	
				this bias, and	
				increase the impact	
				of installing low-	
				carbon alternatives.	
	Non-domestic buildings			The key to	
		1		development of	
1					
				useful metrics that	

6.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that information based on a smart readiness metric should be displayed on EPCs? Please select one option for each building type.	Smart readiness	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	actionable, and easy to understand, without overwhelming users with technical details. The scope should balance the need for comprehensive data with the complexity of heating systems, and it should integrate well with the broader EPC, presenting a holistic view of the building's energy efficiency. Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Domestic buildings		Disagree	We do not feel that this would have a significant impact on the measures applied to building. Nor does it have an impact on the efficiency of a property. This may add a level of confusion which	

				would place a further	
				barrier to works.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Disagree	The disparities in	
				access to smart	
				technologies and	
				standardisation of	
				technologies means	
				this many not be	
				useful and may be	
7.	What are your views on the definition design	Smart readiness	Strongly disagree	easy to misinterpret. Please insert any	
7.	What are your views on the definition, design	Smart readiness	Disagree	additional comments	
	principles and the scope for a smart readiness metric? Please provide evidence where			in the relevant	
	possible.		Neither agree nor disagree	spaces below	
	possible.		Agree	spaces below	
			Strongly agree		
			Strongly agree		
			Neither agree nor		
			disagree		
	Non-domestic buildings		Neither agree nor		
			disagree		
8.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that	Energy use	Strongly disagree	Please insert any	
	information from an energy use metric should		Disagree	additional comments	
	be displayed on EPCs? Please select one		Neither agree nor	in the relevant	
	option for each building type.		disagree	spaces below	
			Agree		
			Strongly agree		
	Domestic buildings		Agree	As mentioned	
	-			previously this would	
				be improved by	
				addressing some of	
				the inaccurate	

				sections of the assessment.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Agree		
9.	If an energy use metric is to be displayed on Energy Performance Certificates (EPCs), which type of energy use measurement should be used to calculate this metric? Please select one option for each building type.	Energy use	No preference Don't know Delivered energy Primary energy Other (please specify)	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Domestic buildings		Other – both	Using primary energy alone puts the onus of reduction unfairly on the consumer. Using delivered energy alone only shows the customer what they are using. By combining the two the customer gets to understand the inefficiency of delivery and puts pressure on energy suppliers to improve this figure.	
	Non-domestic buildings		Other – both	If the EPC's goal is to provide a transparent and actionable energy use metric for the building's occupants,	

				focusing on
				delivered energy is
				likely the most
				practical option. It
				directly affects
				operational costs, is
				easy to measure,
				and is relatable to
				the average user.
				However, for a more
				comprehensive
				understanding of a
				building's
				environmental
				footprint and
				sustainability, using
				primary energy
				would provide
				deeper insights into
				the true energy
				efficiency of the
				building's operations.
				This would be
				especially beneficial
				in the context of
				long-term
				sustainability goals
				and the transition to
				a low-carbon
				economy.
10.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that	Carbon	Strongly disagree	Please insert any
	information from a carbon based metric should		Disagree	additional comments
L		1		ll

	be displayed on EPCs? Please select one option for each building type.		Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	in the relevant spaces below
	Domestic buildings		Strongly Agree	Again this would be improved by an improvement in assessment accuracy. Including a carbon (CO2e) metric allows a resident to examine the wider impact of improvement works, and identify which measures will reduce CO2e output, if any.
	Non-domestic buildings		Strongly agree	
11.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with incorporating smart metering technologies, like SMETERS, into the energy performance assessment framework for buildings? Please select one option for each building type.	SMETER methods	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
	Domestic buildings		Neither agree nor disagree	We do not feel that the SMETER technical evaluation carried out in 2019- 20 was of sufficient sample size (30 properties) or archetype variety to

	Non-domestic buildings		Neither agree nor disagree	draw a reasonable conclusion.	
12.	Do you have any views on key transition issues?	Transition	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Non-domestic buildings		Neither agree nor disagree		
13.	What should be the validity period for Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) ratings?	Reducing the validity periods for EPCs	Don't know Less than 2 years 2 years 5 years 7 years 10 years	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	Non-domestic buildings		10 years	Reducing this time puts additional costs on landlords without improving methods to address the issues identified.	
14.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the approach for any changes to validity periods to only apply to new EPCs?	Reducing the validity periods for EPCs	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	

			Strongly Disagree	No changes should be made	
15.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that a new EPC should be required when an existing one expires for private rented buildings?	Requiring a valid EPC throughout the tenancy period	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
			Disagree	The current system of new EPCs at change of tenancy is reasonable.	
16.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that the regulations should be amended so that a property must have a valid EPC before it is marketed for sale or rent?	Marketing a building for sale or rent	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
			Agree	Possibility to stall sales if EPC not completed in a timely manner	
17.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) which don't already fall under the (Minimum Energy Efficiency Standards) MEES should do so when a room is rented out?	Houses in multiple occupation	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
			Strongly Agree		

18.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that there should be a transitional period of 24 months to allow HMO landlords to obtain a valid EPC and comply with MEES regulations?	Houses in multiple occupation	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
			Strongly agree	This is required to prevent evictions
19.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with requiring short-term rental properties to have a valid EPC at the point of being let?	Short-term rental properties	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
			Strongly agree	
20.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with requiring short-term rental properties to have a valid EPC irrespective of who is responsible for meeting the energy costs?	Short-term rental properties	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
			Agree	Help should be provided to landlords where required.
21.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that we should remove the exemption for landlords from obtaining an EPC for buildings officially protected as part of a designated environment or because of their architectural or historical merit?	Heritage buildings	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below

22.	How useful do you find Display Energy Certificates (DECs) for understanding and improving a building's energy performance?	Display Energy Certificates (DECs)	Disagree Not at all useful Somewhat not useful Neither not useful Somewhat useful Somewhat useful	The historical significance of properties should not be ignored, and the use of these properties should not be prohibited because of their energy use. However it may be reasonable to look at other methods to evaluate these properties which take this into account. Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
23.	Are there any limitations or challenges with the current DEC approach that reduce its effectiveness?	Display Energy Certificates (DECs)	Very useful Please provide evidence where possible	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
24.	What alternative approaches, if any, could drive energy performance improvements more	Display Energy Certificates (DECs)	Please provide evidence where possible	Please insert any additional comments

	effectively than DECs for public sector buildings?			in the relevant spaces below	
25.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed changes to the validity periods for DECs and DEC recommendation reports?	Reducing the validity periods for DECs	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
26.	What would be an appropriate validity period in years for these DEC and DEC recommendation reports? Please select a validity period for each option.	Reducing the validity periods for DECs	1 year 2 years 3 years 4 years 5 years 6 years 7 years More than 7 years Don't know	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
	DEC 1000m ² and under				
	DEC recommendation report 1000m ² and under				
	DEC recommendation report over 1000m ²				
27.	There is a proposal to provide an exception in the regulations for certificates that have been marked as cancelled or not for issue to be	Cancelled or not for issue certificates	Strongly disagree Disagree	Please insert any additional comments	

	removed from the Energy Performance of Buildings (EPB) Register after 2 years.		Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	in the relevant spaces below
28.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the approach to remove the option to opt-out EPCs from the EPB Register public address search?	Removing opt-out from the EPB regulations	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
29.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with retaining the option to opt-out EPC address level content from the Open Data?	Removing opt-out from the EPB regulations	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
30.	There is a proposal to remove the general prohibition on sharing data gathered under the EPB Regulations and replace it with a Secretary of State discretion about when, how and with whom to share the data.	Data sharing	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below

31.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that data gathered in previous EPC assessments should be available for use in future EPC calculations for a dwelling?	Using existing data in EPC assessments	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
			Agree	By comparing data from previous assessments the quality of both the new and previous assessments can be examined. It will also allow a real-terms analysis of any changes made over the period between assessments.	
32.	What are your views on the approach to using existing data, while balancing accuracy and practicality?		Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
33.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that Accreditation Schemes should be given more responsibility for overseeing the training of energy assessors?	Assessor fraud	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	

34.	Do you have suggestions for other actions which could be taken to improve the accuracy and quality of energy assessments, or to help identify fraud in EPC assessments?	Assessor fraud	Suggestions	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
35.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with these proposals to improve compliance?	Improved EPC compliance and enforcement	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
36.	To what extent do you agree or disagree that penalties should be increased?	Penalties	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
37.	If penalties were to increase, how much should current penalties increase by?	Penalties	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	

38.	When should penalties be imposed for non- compliance with Energy Performance of Buildings Regulations (EPBR) requirements?	Penalties	Don't know At 6 months (no increase) At 12 months At 18 months Following more than 18 months	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
39.	What are your views on changing the current allocation of responsibilities for enforcing Energy Performance of Buildings Regulations (EPBR)?	Enforcement authority responsibilities	Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
40.	There is a proposal for a new penalty charge fine amount of £800 for non-compliance with the requirement to have an ACIR for systems with an effective rated output over 12kW.	Issues with low compliance	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
41.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposal to redesign the structure of ACIRs?	Redesigning ACIRs	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
42.	What should be included in a redesigned report?	Redesigning ACIRs	Views	Please insert any additional comments

				in the relevant spaces below
43.	To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposal to add a cost metric in the assessment methodology for ACIRs?	Redesigning ACIRs	Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
44.	If you agree to including a cost metric, what would be the most suitable data on air conditioning system output to use in the calculation and how could it be obtained? Please comment both on data quality, suitability and likely availability.	Redesigning ACIRs	Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
45.	If you agree to including a cost metric, what would be the most suitable data on electricity prices to use in the calculation? Please comment both on data quality, suitability and likely availability.	Redesigning ACIRs	Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below
46.	Please let us know if you have any evidence on the rate of voluntary implementation of recommendations made in EPCs.	Additional questions	Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below

47.	Please let us know if you have any comments on the regulatory or equalities impact assessments presented alongside this consultation, in particular, are there any impacts on groups with protected characteristics that we have not identified in the equalities impact assessment?	Additional questions	Views	Please insert any additional comments in the relevant spaces below	
48.	Please let us know if you have any comments on the impact assessment in general, including any evidence you have on the impact of these proposed reforms.	Additional questions	Views	Current EPC assessments are flawed, with assessors not noting a product if they are unable to actually see it, advising photo's will not be accepted. Recently we have been advised to install access panels to all rooms where floor, wall or ceiling insulation has been installed in order to form part of the assessment, this is a costly and unsightly exercise for little gain. Scores for measures on an EPC, should be shown throughout	

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		the certificates, so it	
		is clear what	
		measures installed	
		and recommended	
		are having the most	
		impact, this will help	
		making informed	
		decisions. Current	
		scoring system	
		needs to be regularly	
		updated and not so	
		ridged, for instance	
		currently based on	
		50mm, 75mm,	
		100mm, etc	
		insulation, there are	
		products on the	
		market that are	
		thinner and less	
		intrusive that have	
		thermal properties	
		which should be	
		considered.	