

## London Borough of Wandsworth

### CONSULTATION ON DRAFT CONSERVATION AREA APPRAISALS – RESPONSES ANALYSIS

April 2023

#### Old York Road Conservation Area

A public consultation regarding the Conservation Area Appraisal (CAA) for **Conservation Area** received 10 responses: 10 from members of the public and 1 from **local societies etc.** 7 responses were in favour, 1 response were neutral, and 2 responses disagreed with the draft CAA.

Respondent	Agree/ Disagree/Neutral CA	Agree/ Disagree/ Neutral Local List of Buildings	Respondent comments	Officer comments	Changes required to the CAA draft?
1	A bit too late	Agree	<p>Although the appraisal is welcome I think it should have been looked at 20 years ago. I'm concerned about restrictions on window replacements in terms of expense and energy efficiencies. I hope the character of Old York Road itself can be maintained.</p> <p>Although out of scope for Old York Road the mass development of high rise blocks of flats have completely wrecked the area. In particular the Homebase and B&amp;Q developments.</p>	The respondent's comments are noted. The new appraisal seeks to enhance and maintain the character of Old York Road Conservation area. The Council actively seeks to do this where possible.	None required
2	Agree	Agree	We still need to ensure the planned pedestrianization of wandsworth high street takes place.	Noted the pedestrianization of High Street should stay; this will be passed to the relevant team within the Council.	None required
3	Agree	Agree	.	.	No

Respondent	Agree/ Disagree/Neutral CA	Agree/ Disagree/ Neutral Local List of Buildings	Respondent comments	Officer comments	Changes required to the CAA draft?
4	Agree	Agree	Critical for development immediately adjacent to this area to be minimised in terms of height and architecture - we already have out of place and poorly designed high rise development on the Homebase site which is detrimental to the area. Please can this be carefully controlled to ensure no further negative impact.	Noted re desire to preserve the height and architecture of the area.	None required
5	Agree	Agree	It wasn't clear in the report but the rerouting of buses should become permanent to preserve the vibrant nature and spirit of the area.	Noted re rerouting the buses; this will be passed to the relevant team within the Council.	None required
6	Agree	Agree	.	.	No
7	Disagree	Disagree	As a resident owner this proposal devalues the properties of those living in them who have not conducted any renovation. The majority of renovations in the area are hugely sympathetic with home owners taking pride in what they're adding. The comments on light wells feels particularly petty as they mostly hidden behind a wall, shown by your photographer stepping into someone's path to take the illustrative photograph. I can't see how a small glass floor window behind a wall disrupts the area, but by stopping natural light being included in extensions at the front of the house you make it much more expensive and less attractive internally for this work to be completed.	Comments noted – the Appraisal does not introduce any further restrictions and the Area has been a Conservation Area since June 2019. The Appraisal is intended to serve as a guide to inform residents to continue to make alterations following best practice. Lightwells are often visible from the public realm particularly in oblique views,	None required

Respondent	Agree/ Disagree/Neutral CA	Agree/ Disagree/ Neutral Local List of Buildings	Respondent comments	Officer comments	Changes required to the CAA draft?
				and views into front gardens are a key aspect of the character of the Conservation Area. The photograph is simply angled for clarity and to illustrate what an existing lightwell looks like- to be reviewed and replaced as deemed necessary	
8	Disagree	.	.	.	No
9	Agree	Agree	<p>Nice to keep some of the architecture and history of an area as part of this Country and London's heritage and history.</p> <p>Will keep some buildings of local heritage which can enhance an area.</p> <p>Excellent proposals</p>	The respondent's comments are noted.	None required
10	Agree	.	Believe the boundary should be adjusted to include Bramford Gardens (including the community garden) just to the North of the railway line by Bramford, Dalby, Ballantine Roads. The gardens are an important element contributing to the character of the Conservation Area and should be protected and maintained accordingly.	Noted respondent's proposals to include Bramford Gardens. It is not considered that there is a substantial historic link between the development of the two Areas and therefore the park is excluded from the	None required

<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree/Neutral CA</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree/ Neutral Local List of Buildings</b>	<b>Respondent comments</b>	<b>Officer comments</b>	<b>Changes required to the CAA draft?</b>
				Conservation Area. As a park, the gardens have protections outside the scope of the designation as a CA.	