

## Eoghan McConville

---

**From:** William Woodward-Fisher [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 10 March 2023 12:22  
**To:** PlanningPolicy Wandsworth  
**Subject:** Battersea Design & Tech Quarter

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

Whilst removing the SIL designation from this area is to be welcomed as it is patently unsuitable for heavy industrial use as one lorry or even van could totally congest the very narrow streets in this area, I have concerns about the new localised designation of an industrial area.

The strength and success of the Battersea power station development has been its mixed uses ,by only allowing commercial uses in the area ,principally industrial and offices ,this has a sterilising affect on the quarter which should be include co-working with co living and hotel and another uses. The area needs a flow of people 24 hours a day to give the it vibrancy and life.

The Plan seems to be frightened of ancillary uses, coffee bars, hotels, retail ,living, all adds to the a healthy mix. I would urge those involved in drawing this plan to be more broad minded and encourage such uses if the Quarter is to a long term success.

Thank you for allowing consultation.

Yours sincerely

W. Woodward-Fisher.

Sent from my iPad

## Eoghan McConville

---

**From:** William Woodward-Fisher [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 March 2023 09:49  
**To:** PlanningPolicy Wandsworth  
**Subject:** Battersea Design and Technology Quarter. Local plan comments.

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification> ]

If possible, I'd like to add a new comment on the local plan consultation. I understand the date for comments has recently passed and hopefully I am not too late to be included.

I'm referring to the Battersea design and technology quarter. The policy there is for only commercial and industrial uses. Whilst I support the light Industrial uses and agree that they should be encouraged and even expanded at lower floor levels , there is very limited demand for B1c offices and other similar uses at higher level and a lot of planning consents have either been allowed or are imminent which would flood the market with too much of this type of space.

In order to encourage early development and the light industrial uses, I would urge the policy makers to consider other uses on higher floors to include various types of residential use and tenancies.

I am the owner of 38/48 Havelock Terrace and have recently had to turn down a really interesting proposal from Pocket Living who would like to have developed the building with light industrial and commercial uses on the lower two floors and their unique brand of residential upstairs. This would be in an adventurous and welcome use for the area and at the same time, satisfied a real need in the borough for this type of accommodation.

It is a shame that they cannot proceed and a lost opportunity for Wandsworth on many fronts. Please reconsider these restrictions and allow this type of occupier.

Thank you for allowing me to present my proposals.

Regards

William Woodward-Fisher.

Sent from my iPad